UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,418	10/24/2005	Giuseppe Fedrigoni	41037/AJ/lp	5323
Modiano & Ass	7590 03/05/200 sociati	EXAMINER		
Via Meravigli 1 Milano, 20123	6	FORTUNA, JOSE A		
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/554,418	FEDRIGONI, GIUSEPPE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	José A. Fortuna	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2008.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
, <del></del>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
,,	Claim(s) 12-14 and 16-21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14, 16-21</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	r alastian raquirament					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. The term "high printability" in claim 20 is a relative term which renders the claim

indefinite. The term "high" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a

standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be

reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term "High" render the claim indefinite

since it is a relative term, i.e., what is to be considered high in a printing process? Note that what

is considered to be high for one printing process might not considered high for another, e.g., for

writing papers high printability would be different than for photographic printing, (ink-jet

printing). Therefore the term "high" renders the claim indefinite since the metes and bounds of

patent protection cannot be ascertained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 12-14 and 16-21 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b)/103(a). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action mailed on August 12, 2008.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on December 12, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

With regard to Wiley et al., applicant argues that the product of the reference would have a discontinuous patterned layer with a thickness other than the claimed. The arguments are not convincing fro the following reasons:

- The claims only require a coating with the pearlescent pigments and there is no limitation as to the type of coating in terms of its continuity or pattern. That is, it only requires a coating and the reference teaches a coating layer.
- As to the thickness of the paper, Wiley et al. teach that the coating can be changed to fit the specification of the surface to be covered, column 4, lines 15-22 and teach that the detailed description is for an embossed vinyl floor covering, but that the coating can be varied depending upon the decorative surface, column 3, lines 7-16. A disclosure in a reference is not limited to its specific illustrative examples, but must be considered as a whole to ascertain what would be realistically suggested thereby to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re* Uhlig, 54 CCPA 1300, 376 F2d 320; 153 USPQ 460.
- By the use of the open ended transitional phrase "comprising" the use of other layers on top of the pearlescent layer reads on the claims, since the claims only require that the pearlescent layer is on top of another layer and the cited reference shows just that. The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418

Art Unit: 1791

elements or method steps. See, e.g., > Mars Inc. v. H.J. Heinz Co., 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 71 USPO2d 1837, 1843 (Fed. Cir. 2004), ("like the term comprising,' the terms containing' and mixture' are open-ended."). Invitrogen Corp. v. Biocrest Mfg., L.P., 327 F.3d 1364, 1368, 66 USPQ2d 1631, 1634 (Fed. Cir. 2003) ("The transition comprising" in a method claim indicates that the claim is open-ended and allows for additional steps."); Genentech, Inc. v. Chiron Corp., 112 F.3d 495, 501, 42 USPO2d 1608, 1613 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("Comprising" is a term of art used in claim language which means that the named elements are essential, but other elements may be added and still form a construct within the scope of the claim.); Moleculon Research Corp. v. CBS, Inc., 793 F.2d 1261, 229 USPO 805 (Fed. Cir. 1986); In re Baxter, 656 F.2d 679, 686, 210 USPO 795, 803 (CCPA 1981); Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ("comprising" leaves "the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients even in major amounts").

Page 4

With regard to De Bastiani et al. and O'Dell et al., it is noted that such references were used in combination with a secondary reference, Wiley et al., and applicant has argued the individual references and not the combination. i.e., why it would not have been obvious to use the Flexographic or rotogravure printing process in the process of the secondary references, especially when the examiner has recognized that the primary references teach a different printing process? One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418

Art Unit: 1791

references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPO 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Page 5

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,418 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/José A Fortuna/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

**JAF**